Kablimani G & M

5314

DECIBION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

1677

FILE:

R-154716

DATE:

777

MATTER OF:

ACTION - Uncellectible Loss

DIGEST:

ACTION may charge current operating expense account for shortage in account of imprest fund cashier, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 1202(a), where submission and supporting documents indicate that fault or negligence by cashier caused loss and that collection cannot be effected against cashier.

This decision is in response to a letter, with enclosures, from James E. Allen, Chief, Fiscal Services Branch, Accounting Division, ACTION, requesting our concurrence in a proposal to charge ACTION's current operating expense account for a shortest which ACTION indicates appears to be uncellectible in the imprest fund account of Peace Corps Printipal Class "B" Cashier Victor E. S. Williams. Mr. Williams was a local hire florer Leone national.

According to the letter and enclosures, Mr. Williams performed his duties until August 23, 1874, when he was imprisoned due to an unrelated matter. A cash count was subsequently performed on Mr. Williams' imprest fund account, and a shortage of \$7,285.19 was discovered. The enclosures indicate that several irregularities occurred in connection with the assumption of responsibility over and cash count of the imprest fund account after Mr. Williams' imprisonment. Responsibility for the account was not assumed by any other individual until August 28, 1974. Moreover, although the possible shortage was originally detected in September 1974 (shortly after Mr. Williams' detention), apparently no formal cash count was performed until November 5, 1974. Furthermore, the cercount performed an November 5, 1974, was apparently not condend in a proper manner—i.e., in the presence of Mr. Williams and a neutral party. Pinally, it could not be definitively established through the November cash count that the loss occurred during Mr. Williams' tenure, although this seems to be highly likely under all the circumstances.

The question of recourse against Mr. Williams for the shortage was referred to Attorney John Henry Emythe, Freetown, Sierra Leone, who determined that pursuant to local law, any recourse against Mr. Williams for the shortage for the imprest fund could

R-186715

only be taken in a supervise court action. If Yr. Empths also determined that in view of the electrosphases surrounding the court court, it was destrict whether under local law the Pouce Curps would be successful in a sait against Mr. Williams to recover the \$7,206.18. The General Council, ACTION, subsequently contested the Department of Justice which, on the basis of Mr. Smythe's opinion, recommended against instituting a law suit against Mr. Williams for the shortage.

1

Section 1:43(a) of tible 31, United States Code (Supp. V, 1975), provides:

"Whenever--

- (4) It is necessary to restare or otherwise edject the account of any accountable efficer or his agent for any loss to the United States due to fault or negligence of such officer or agent, and
- (2) the bood of the agency of the Federal Government concerned determines that the amount of the loss is uncellectible.

such amount shall be charged to the appropriation or fund available for the exponent of the accountable function at the time the rectaration or adjustment is made. Such restoration or adjustment shall not offact the personal financial liability of such officer or agent on account of such long."

While the record is not entirely clear, it does seem to support ACTEON's conclusions that the instant less was due to the fault or negligence of Mr. Victor E. S. Williams, and that collection cannot be offseted against him. Moreover, it does not appear that further investigation of this matter would be productive. Also, since it is not possible to definitively establish when the loss occurred, there would not be a sufficient legal basis for helding Mr. Williams' successor liable for the loss. We note in this regard that procedural irregularities may have occurred in the

Under 8 U.S.C. § 8813, withhelding of pay of an employee in arrears to the United States (with emosphisms not here relevant) is mendatory until he has accounted for and paid to the Treasury all the sums for which he is liable. However, ACTION states that "United States Government agencies have agreed to skide by local law in personnel matters" involving local hire actionals.

15-186715

handing of the improst find after Mr. Williams' departure, such as the manner in which the cash count was conducted. However, those irregalarities do not seem to involve negligenes on the part of an accountable affluer to which paramed liability would affect.

For the reasons sixted show, we comer in ACTION's request to charge the amount of the less to the current operating expense account pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 1300(a).

In order to proclude the occurrence of similar attentions we would suggest that ACTION recompider its policy of appointing to appointed officer positions 'local hire amployees,' who are estimate of the occurry in which they are hired and coupleyed, at least in countries where by agreement local laws are applicable to such personnel and where such laws may proclude the recovery of fund lapses attributable to the negligence or frank of local hire notionals.

R.F.KEI-LER

Deputy Comptroller General of the United States